

Riverside Habitat Scorecard (on improved grassland)



Farmer ID:						
Plot number:	Survey date	2:				
Derogation:	Soil type:	River flow:				ī
□ Yes □ No	$\hfill\Box$ Mineral soil $\hfill\Box$ Peat soil $\hfill\Box$	Low Normal	Above norm	nal 🗆		
A Habitat	structural integrity				Total Score A (sum of A2 to A6):	
A1-A What proportion	n (%) of the riparian buffer zone length	is stock-proof fenced?	%	1 1	12 to A6 ₎ /70	<i>y</i> :
Assess from top of riverb A2 What is the comple	age width of the (stock-proof) fenced repairs of the (see greath or water's edge to fence line (see greath) greath of the vegetation in the fenced but the cies to be excluded from assessment.	uidance) (m)	ied in A1-A?			
•	oo. natural rocky outcrop in the fenced buffo	er zone identified in A1, the	n assign a score	e of 30.		
			A = % of area	B = Score	AxB/	100
	earth, gravel or concrete.			-20		
	predominantly grasses and rank veget egetation types: (i) short/creeping herb			10		
herbs/grasses/rushes	s/sedges/ferns; (iii) scrub or shrubs; or	r (iv) trees/saplings.		20		
Very good: Complex -	- four or more vegetation types: see abo	ove.		30 Total score:		
Non-native invasive spectors: Trees absent or Moderate: Trees preclumps of trees. Good: Trees occurring	are the riverside trees? Ink top along water's edge (see guidance cies to be excluded from assessment. I largely absent (occasional isolated trees sent but scattered along riverside with gas frequent clumps with large gaps/brees (a) semi-continuous along riverside	ee). large gaps/breaks betwee eaks present.				0 5 10 20
Assess bank face along v	ing and in-stream woody vegetation water's edge (see guidance). ad in-stream woody vegetation absent o		nnel margin AN	ND/OR evidence	of recent	- 0
Moderate: Scattered	overhanging and in-stream woody veg		gin AND/OR ev	ridence of histori	С	
Good: Frequent overh	moval but now showing evidence of reg nanging and in-stream woody vegetatio	growur. on along channel margin.				1
A5 How stable is the r Assess bank face (see gu		Significant areas of banks o	cut away, under	rcut or showing e	erosion	
scars.	<u> </u>					-1
Moderate: Bank mod	lerately stable (not easily disturbed). I	mrequent small areas of er	osion mostly h	eaied over.		Ī

Good: Bank largely stable, held firmly by grasses, shrubs and tree roots.

A6 What is the cover of **non-native invasive species**? (tick if present) Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).

High: Abundant. Some forming dense clumps, many seedlings.	-20
Moderate: Frequent. Some flowering, many seedlings present.	-10
Low: Scattered. Plants mostly small and not flowering.	-5
None: No non-native invasive species present.	0

□ Japanese knotweed □ Montbretia □ Old man's beard/Traveller's joy □ Rhododendron □ Non native conifers □ Winter heliotrope □ Other (plages specific)
☐ Other (please specify)

A7 What is the extent of **gorse** along the riverside habitat? (refer to Project team if 'high') Assess within fenced buffer zone (see guidance)

High: Gorse dominating throughout the plot (>75% cover).	
Moderate: Gorse occurs frequently throughout the plot (25–50% cover).	
Low: Scattered presence or no gorse present (<25% cover).	

B Hydrological integrity B1 What is the extent of any surface artificial drainage features within the riverside plot? Assess the WORST 20 m of drain within the riverside plot. Functional: Drains predominantly free flowing (though may be dry at the time of survey), largely unvegetated and unblocked. Part functional: Drains present but flow is partially impeded (by vegetation etc.). Non-functional: Drains absent or present but non-functioning. No flow, highly vegetated and/or dammed.

B2 To what extent are there any subsurface drainage features within the plot?

Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).

Present and functional	-30
Absent or present but non-functioning	0

B3 Riverside soil **denitrification** potential?

Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).

Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's eage (see guidance).	
Low: Riverside soils dry/firm underfoot. No evidence that the soil is saturated for all/part of the year.	0
Medium: Water-logged (surface moist/fluid underfoot) riverside soils occasionally observed. Evidence that the soil is saturated for all/part of the year.	5
High: Water-logged (surface moist/fluid underfoot) riverside soils frequently observed. Evidence that the soil is saturated for all/part of the year.	10

C Threats & pressures Total Score C (sum of C1 to C2): /0

C1 Is there any evidence of **removal of mature shrubs/trees** in the riverside habitat? *Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).*

Present: Evidence of removal/damage of mature shrubs/trees	-30
Absent: No evidence of removal/damage to mature shrubs/trees	0

C2 Is there any evidence of **damaging activities** to the riverside habitat? (*tick if present*) Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).

inseess the 20 mg, om top of the sum of water or eagle (see gardance).	
High: Damage occurring across a large area (≥21%) or of a serious nature if confined.	-30
Moderate: Damage occurring across a moderate area (≥6-20%) or of a moderate nature if confined.	-20
Low: Damage occurring. across a small area (≤5%) or of a minor nature if confined.	-10
None: No damaging activities.	0

Damaging activities:

(tick relevant and describe in comments)

| Inappropriate herbicide use
| Inappropriate pesticide use
| Dumping/rubbish
| Burning

□ Other (describe in comments)

$\textbf{C3} \quad \text{What is the extent of } \textbf{bare soil \& erosion} \text{ in the riverside habitat?}$

Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).

High: Extensive areas of bare soil due to feed sites OR water troughs OR paddock access points OR livestock access points. Significant livestock poaching evident and/or rutting and soil disturbance due to vehicle/farm machinery access.	-30
Moderate: Minor areas of bare soil due to feed sites OR water troughs OR paddock access points OR livestock access points. Minor livestock poaching evident and/or rutting and soil disturbance due to vehicle/farm machinery access.	-10
Low: No areas of bare soil or soil disturbance evident. If present, feed sites, water troughs, paddock access and/or livestock access points kept >20m from watercourse. No livestock poaching.	0

Management recommendations:
 □ Continue current management of this high-quality riverside habitat. □ Increase the width of the riparian buffer margin
☐ Install additional/improve fencing.
☐ Reduce the amount of pruning/tree cutting/clearance ☐ Control the occurrence and spread of invasive species.
☐ Move feeders/troughs regularly, and keep away from drains and rivers.
□ No management advice. □ Other (describe in comments).
□ Other (describe in comments).
Management recommendation(s):
Management recommendation(s):