

## Scrub/Woodland Scorecard



Farmer ID:	Surveyor:	<b>Total Score</b>	/100
Plot number:	Survey date:	(A+B+C)	
<b>Habitat type</b> : □Scrub □Woodland	<b>Scrub:</b> Areas that are dominated by at least 50% cover of shrubs, stunted trees or brambles. <b>Woodland:</b> Canopy generally greater than 5 m in height, or 4 m in the case of wet or bog areas.	<b>Soil type</b> : □Mineral soil □Peat soil	

## Is this plot adjacent to an EPA mapped river/stream? Y/N

If yes, describe river flow: Low  $\Box$  Normal  $\Box$  Above normal  $\Box$ 

Α	Ecological i	integrity	7		Total Scor	<b>•e A</b> (sum of A1-S o	rA1-W) /70	)
Typical S ( <i>tick thos</i> Alder Ash Birch Blackt Bog m	SCRUB species: e present) □Bra ⊠ Br □Haz horn □Eld yrtle □Gor	acken amble zel er rse/furze	□Whitethorn ( <i>hawthorn</i> ) □ Willow □Dog rose □Guelder rose	Ty (ti □	pical <b>WOODL</b> ck those present Beech Holly Dak Rowan	AND species: Scot's pine Spruce Sycamore Horse chestnut	□*Spanish chestnut □*Hornbeam □Spindle □ Other ( <i>please spect</i>	ify):

\*Non-native species

## A1 Score either A1-S OR A1-W

A1-S for SCRUB-dominated plots: which description best describes the diversity and structure of the scrub present? Poor: Gorse-dominated scrub.

i official definition of the second s	U
Moderate: Two native species from the table above.	30
Good: Three native species from table above common throughout plot.	50
Very good: Four or more native species from table above common throughout plot. Variation in vegetation height and structure throughout.	70

				<b>Total Score A1-W</b> (sum of A1-Wa to A1-Wc)	/70
A1-W for WOODLAND-dominated plots:					
Wa – Which description best describes the woodland CANOPY layer?		<b>Wb</b> – Which description best describes the <b>woodland SHRUB layer</b> ?		Wc – Which description best describes the <b>woodland FIELD</b> layer?	
<b>Poor:</b> Native woodland with frequent non- native ( <i>conifer or deciduous</i> ) trees present.	0	Shrub layer absent or consists of non-native species.	0	The field layer is absent or consist of non-native species.	0
<b>Moderate:</b> Native woodland with occasional non-native ( <i>conifer or deciduous</i> ) trees present.	15	Shrub layer present.	10	The field layer is present with low level of species and structural diversity	10
<b>Good:</b> Native woodland with no non-native ( <i>conifer or deciduous</i> ) trees present.	30	Well-developed shrub layer present.	20	Field layer supports good diversity of native species, with mosses, ferns and herbs present	20

#### A2 Ash Die Back

Is ash present in the woodland/Scrub Yes  $\Box$   $\:$  No  $\:$ 

Is there evidence of ash die back disease Yes  $\Box$  No  $\Box$ 

Are there visually healthy ash trees present Yes  $\ \square$  No  $\ \square$ 

B Hydrological integrity	Total Score B:	/30
<b>B1</b> To what extent are there any <b>surface artificial drainage features</b> within the plot?		
<b>Functional:</b> Drains predominantly free flowing (though may be dry at the time of survey), largely unblocked.	unvegetated and	-30
Part functional: Drains present but flow is partially impeded (by vegetation etc.).		10
Non-functional: Drains absent or present but non-functioning. No flow, highly vegetated and/or dammed.		
C Threats & pressures	Total Score C	

# (\*C4–C7 only applicable where plot adjoins stream/river)

**C1** Is there any **evidence of damaging activities** to habitat or vegetation throughout the plot?

(excluding the 20 m adjacent to any watercourse)

High: Damage occurring across a large area (≥21%) or of a serious nature if confined	
Moderate: Damage occurring across a moderate area (≥6-20%) or of a moderate nature if confined.	-20
<b>Low:</b> Damage occurring. across a small area ( $\leq$ 5%) or of a minor nature if confined.	-10
None: No damaging activities.	0

Damaging activities: (tick relevant and describe in comments) □ Damage from supplementary feeding □ Inappropriate herbicide use □ Quarrying Burning

Dumping/rubbish □ Removal of mature scrub/trees Other (please specify)

**Total Score C** 

(sum of C1 to C6\*):

## **C2** What is the extent of **bare soil & erosion** throughout the plot?

<b>High:</b> Excessive areas of bare soil within the body of the field. Bare soil may also be extending out significantly from the main feed sites and/or water troughs and/or livestock access points, where poaching evident. Significant rutting and soil	-30
disturbance caused by vehicle/tractor access.	
<b>Moderate:</b> Bare soil mainly along regularly used stock routes or congregation areas, with minor soil loss occurring at a few points. Bare soil may extend a short distance beyond the main feed site and/or water points and/or livestock access points. Minor rutting and soil disturbance caused by occasional vehicle/tractor access may be present.	-10
Low: Bare soil more or less restricted to regular stock paths, 'pinch' points & small congregation areas. No soil loss.	0

## **C3** What is the cover of **non-native invasive species** throughout the plot?

	1
High: Abundant. Some forming dense clumps, many seedlings.	-20
Moderate: Frequent. Some flowering, many seedlings present.	-10
Low: Scattered. Plants mostly small and not flowering.	-5
None: No non-native invasive species present.	0

#### Non-native invasive species: (tick if present) Cherry laurel Cotoneaster □ Giant hogweed 🗆 Giant rhubarb 🗆 Himalayan balsam □ Himalayan honeysuckle

□ Himalayan knotweed

□ Japanese knotweed

Montbretia

□ Old man's beard/Traveller's joy

- □ Rhododendron
- □ Self-sown conifers
- □ Winter heliotrope
- $\Box$  Other (*please specify*):

## C4 How stable is the riverbank? Assess bank face (see guidance).

<b>Poor:</b> Bank unstable of loose soil, which is easily disturbed. Significant areas of banks cut away, undercut or showing erosion scars.	-10
Moderate: Bank moderately stable (not easily disturbed). Infrequent small areas of erosion mostly healed over.	-5
Good: Bank largely stable, held firmly by grasses, shrubs and tree roots.	0

C5 What is the cover of non-native invasive species along the riverside habitat? (tick if present) Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance)

High: Abundant. Some forming dense clumps, many seedlings	
Moderate: Frequent. Some flowering, many seedlings present	
Low: Scattered. Plants mostly small and not flowering	
None: No non-native invasive species present	

## Non-native invasive

- species: (tick if present) Cherry laurel
- □ Cotoneaster
- □ Giant hogweed
- □ Giant rhubarb
- 🗆 Himalayan balsam
- □ Himalayan honeysuckle 🗆 Himalayan knotweed
- □ Japanese knotweed □ Montbretia
  - 🗆 Old man's
- beard/Traveller's joy
- $\Box$  Rhododendron
- □ Self-sown conifers
- □ Winter heliotrope
- □ Other (*please specify*):

**C6** Is there any evidence of **damaging activities/bare soil** along the riverside habitat? *Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).* 

<b>High:</b> Damage/bare soil occurring across a large area ( $\geq 21\%$ ) or of a serious nature if confined.	-30
Moderate: Damage/bare soil occurring across a moderate area (≥6-20%) or of a moderate nature if confined.	-20
<b>Low:</b> Damage/bare soil occurring. across a small area (≤5%) or of a minor nature if confined.	-10
None: No damaging activities.	0

#### Damaging activities:

- (tick relevant and describe in comments)
- Livestock poaching/dung
- □ Rutting/soil disturbance due to machinery
- □ Inappropriate herbicide/pesticide use
- Dumping/rubbish
- □ Flailing/cutting/removal of riverside
- vegetation
- 🗆 Burning
- □ Other (*describe in comments*)

**C7** What is the extent of **gorse** along the riverside habitat? (*refer to Project team if 'high'*) *Assess the 20 m from top of riverbank or water's edge (see guidance).* 

High: Gorse dominating throughout the plot (>75% cover).	
Moderate: Gorse occurs frequently throughout the plot (25–50% cover).	
Low: Scattered presence or no gorse present (<25% cover).	

## **Common management recommendations:**

- $\Box$  Continue current management of this high quality scrub/woodland.
- $\hfill\square$  Control the occurrence and spread of invasive species.
- $\Box$  Control the occurrence and spread of encroaching scrub, supporting actions are available.
- $\Box$  Consider using supporting actions of slow or impede the flow of drains.
- □ Improve stock management. e.g. Fencing/drinking facilities
- $\Box$  No management advice
- $\Box$  Other (see comments box)

## Management recommendation(s):